Emerging (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Advanced (4)	
Team does not identify any volcanoes or are unable to justify their selections. They do not identify data to monitor or devices/techniques to use. They do not accurately report potential pitfalls. Team members do not equally contribute to the plan and presentation.	Team accurately identifies at least 1 volcano and somewhat justifies their selection. They identify at least 1 type of data to monitor and device/technique to use. They report potential pitfalls but do not identify ways to prepare for these. Team members do not equally contribute to the plan and presentation.	Team accurately identifies at least 2 volcanoes and mostly justifies their selections. They identify a few types of data to monitor and devices/techniques to use. They report potential pitfalls and ways to prepare for these. Each team member equally contributes to the plan and presentation.	Team accurately identifies 3 volcanoes that need additional monitoring and justifies their selections. They identify several types of data to monitor and devices/techniques to use. They report potential pitfalls and ways to prepare for these. Each team member equally contributes to the plan and presentation.	
Look Fors: Team does not identify any volcanoes or are unable to justify their selections. Team is unable to identify data needed for monitoring or relevant devices/techniques. Team does not identify potential pitfalls. Some team members contribute to the plan more than others. Some team members present more than others.	Look Fors: Team identifies at least 1 volcano and somewhat justifies their selection with appropriate reasoning. Team accurately identifies 1 or more types of data that need to be collected. Team identifies 1 or more relevant devices/techniques for their chosen data. They may or may not identify the locations of the devices. Team identifies potential pitfalls of monitoring plan, but does not identify ways to prepare for these. Some team members contribute to the plan more than others. Some team members present more than others.	Look Fors: • Team identifies at least 2 volcanoes and mostly justifies their selections with appropriate reasoning. • Team accurately identifies data that need to be collected. • Team identifies most relevant devices/techniques for their chosen data. They identify the locations of the devices. • Team identifies potential pitfalls of monitoring plan and preparation for those pitfalls. • Each team member equally contributes to the plan and presents to the class.	Look Fors: Team identifies 3 volcanoes and justifies their selections with appropriate reasoning. Team accurately identifies data that needs to be collected (e.g., number of earthquakes, GPS movement, gas emissions, temperature, 3-D mapping, rock core samples). Team identifies all relevant devices/techniques for their chosen data (e.g., seismometer, GPS receiver, COSPEC, thermocouple, thermal infrared radiation sensor, drone). They identify the locations of the devices. Team identifies potential pitfalls of monitoring plan (e.g., accessing site, safety concerns, financial constraints) and preparation for those pitfalls. Each team member equally contributes to the plan and presents to the class.	

PE	SEP	DCI	ссс	DoK
MS-ESS3-2	SEP-4 Analyzing and Interpreting Data	ESS3.B Natural Hazards	CCC-1 Patterns	4

ILCS: Students must analyze and interpret data to determine which of a set of volcanoes are most important to monitor for future eruptions. They must develop a plan for monitoring, which includes data to be collected and relevant devices or techniques. Students must also identify potential pitfalls, and plan to evade the pitfalls.